Performa 3

Survey of Graduating Students

(To be filled by the graduating students in last semester/year before the award of degree)

The survey seeks graduating students' input on the quality of education they received in their program and the level of preparation they had at university. The purpose of this survey is to assess the quality of academic programs. We seek your help in completing this survey.

	A: Very	Satisfied	d B: Sa	ntisfied	C: Uncertain	D: Dissatisfied	E: Very Dissatisfied		
\boldsymbol{A}	Teachi	ing Qual	lity						
1	Quality	Quality of teaching was up to the mark.							
	A	В	C	D	E				
2.	The pro	ogram o	ffering I	Departmo	ent/ Faculty e	ffectively suppor	ted learning.		
	A	В	C	D	E				
3.	Faculty was well equipped with methodology and pedagogical skills to communicate in well manners.								
	A	В	C	D	E				
4.	Faculty	y was up	dated wi	ith curre	nt trends in re	elevant subjects.			
	A	В	C	D	E				
5.	Faculty	y was ca	pable to	meet the	e program obj	ectives.			
	A	В	C	D	E				
В	Syllabi	us and C	Contents						
1.	Course	es in the	program	were w	ell structured.				
	A	В	C	D	E				
2.	The pr	ogram ef	fectivel	y develo	ped analytica	l and problem-so	lving skills.		
	A	В	C	D	E				
3.	The pr	ogram ef	fectivel	y develo	ped critical th	ninking.			
	A	В	C	D	E				
4	The pro	ogram ef	ffectivel	y develo	ped team wor	k abilities.			

	A	В	C	D	E	
5.	The pr	ogram p	romoted	l moral v	values.	
	A	В	C	D	E	
6.	Conte	nts of sy	llabus w	ere upda	ated to meet program objectives.	
	A	В	C	D	E	
\boldsymbol{C}	Depar	tmental	Infrastr	ucture		
1.	Enviro	nment v	vas cond	lucive to	learning. (Provision of Multimedia, Audio-video Aids).	
	A	В	C	D	E	
2.	Fecilit	ies provi	ided by 1	the depar	rtment were suitable.	
	A	В	C	D	Е	
3.	Classr	ooms siz	ze was aj	ppropria	te.	
	A	В	C	D	Е	
D	Depar	tmental	Adminis	stration	Coordination	
1.	Staff v	vas good	l to share	e all rele	vant information well in time.	
	A	В	C	D	E	
2.	Staff v	vas supp	orting to	resolve	the issues when highlighted.	
	A	В	C	D	Е	
3.	Staff n	nembers	were po	olite and	good enough in public relations.	
	A	В	C	D	Е	
\boldsymbol{E}	Institutional Environment					
1.	Overal	ll univer	sity envi	ronment	t was conducive to learning.	
	A	В	C	D	Е	
2.	Schola	ırships/g	rants we	ere availa	able to students in case of hardship.	
	A	В	C	D	Е	
3.	Librar	y/ electro	onic rese	earch/we	eb resources were easy to access.	
	A	В	C	D	E	

4.	Servi	Services provided by university administration were sufficient.									
	A	В	C	D	Е						
F	Co-curricular Activities										
1.	Suita	Suitable and sufficient co-curricular activities were encouraged.									
	A	В	C	D	Е						
2.	The	The quality of co-curricular activities was impressive.									
	A	В	C	D	E						
3. Students were encouraged to participate in the co-curricular activities.											
	A	В	C	D	Е						
Q	Any	Any recommendation for the improvement of program.									